

Greening Energy Market and Finance

Project website: http://grenfin.eu

Track 2 Greek and Iberian Electricity Market







1 Introduction

2 Descriptive statistics and preliminary analysis3 Modelling of electricity prices

4 VaR simulation





With the support of the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



1 Introduction









- 1 Introduction
- 2 Descriptive statistics and preliminary analysis
 3 Modelling of electricity prices
- 4 VaR simulation







Hellenic Energy Exchange (HEnEX) operates on the **Day-Ahead Market (DAM)** and the **Intraday Market**. DAM is to buy and sell trades of electricity with an obligation of **physical delivery** on the **next Delivery Day**.

To be able to investigate our dataset, it is necessary to understand that this market has **a Market Time Unit of 1 hour** and the **physical delivery** must be within the Bidding Zones of the Hellenic transmission System Operator (HTSO).

DAM allows for efficient sell and buy scheduling using the following order types: 1) Hourly Hybrid Orders; 2) Block Orders; 3) Linked Block Orders; 4) Exclusive Group of Block Orders.



Focus on the dataset and obtain some important statistical parameters which can help us interpret the data.





Operador del Mercado Ibérico de Energía (OMIE) operates on the **Day-Ahead** Market (DAM).

OMIE for both, Spain and Portugal

Market Time Unit: 1 hour

Complex system operator in place:

"Managing the system's technical limitations and ensure that the market results can be technically accommodated on the transportation network"



Focus on the dataset and obtain some important statistical parameters which can help us interpret the data.





Day-ahead Market Prices - 2015 - 2021



Greece

Iberia







Compared to Iberia, the Greek electricity prices show a higher volatility across all the timespan considered. This is due to the different structure of production of energy. In particular in Greece the main sources of energy production are gas, renewables and imports from the Baltic nations around it. In Greece there is at the moment only 1 plant for LNG gas near Athens, with only 1 floating storage.





Histogram of prices - 2015 - 2021



Shapiro Test

Statistics=0.564, p=0.000 Sample does not look Gaussian (reject H0)

Normality Test Statistics=2122.375, p=0.000 Sample does not look Gaussian (reject H0)





Histogram of prices - 2015 - 2021



Shapiro Test

Statistics=0.613, p=0.000 Sample does not look Gaussian (reject H0)

Normality Test Statistics=2051.227, p=0.000 Sample does not look Gaussian (reject H0)





Time series decomposition - Weekly



Greece

Iberia





Time series decomposition - Daily







Time series decomposition - Monthly







Greece	Iberia
ADF Test not stationary p-value = 0.9447	ADF Test not stationary p-value = 0.9256
<pre>First Difference Test: stationary p-value = 0.0000</pre>	<pre>First Difference Test: stationary p-value = 0.0000</pre>







- 1 Introduction
- 2 Descriptive statistics and preliminary analysis
 3 Modelling of electricity prices
- 4 VaR simulation







Modelling of electricity prices - Greece





Day-ahead Market Prices - Greece - 2015 - 2021



Note that it is **not clear** if it is stationary or non-stationary





Time series decomposition – Greece – Daily







Since our prices have a high volatility and exhibit price spikes, we need to perform the ADF stationarity test to show if the series are stationary.

```
> Is the daily prices stationary ?
H0: time series is not stationary
Test statistic = -0.145
P-value = 0.945
Critical values :
    1%: -3.432938355012086 - The "daily prices" is not stationary with 99% confidence
    5%: -2.8626835272597217 - The "daily prices" is not stationary with 95% confidence
    10%: -2.567378742868999 - The "daily prices" is not stationary with 90% confidence
```

Daily data itself is not stationary. This suggests that at least one level of differencing is required. Now we check if the differenced series are stationary.





- d = 1 => constant average trend, but in our data, sudden mega trend 2021...?

- Let's try with d=1 first and then see

```
> Is the daily prices differenced stationary ?
H0: time series is not stationary
Test statistic = -11.835
P-value = 0.000
Critical values :
    1%: -3.432939379929173 - The "daily prices differenced" is stationary with 99% confidence
    5%: -2.862683979868293 - The "daily prices differenced" is stationary with 95% confidence
    10%: -2.5673789838429837 - The "daily prices differenced" is stationary with 90% confidence
```

The differenced time series is stationary. The d parameter in our ARIMA model should be a value of 1.





We can apply the seasonal ARIMA model. (S-ARIMA) => ARIMA with seasonality

- A seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) model is denoted by $ARIMA(p, d, q)(P, D, Q)_m$:
 - *m* is the seasonality period;
 - (P, D, Q) are the equivalent of (p, d, q) in the seasonality term.

The next step is to select the lag values for the **Autoregression (AR)** and **Moving Average (MA) parameters**, p and q respectively.

We can do this by reviewing **Autocorrelation Function (ACF)** and **Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF)** plots of the differentiated data.





Autocorrelation function and Partial Autocorrelation Function - Greece - Daily difference



ACF, PACF of (d=1)





Based on the ACF, we choose m = 12 (seasonality period is 12)

What about the other parameter?

- => we use auto.arima function.
- => we choose parameter if they have lowest BIC, AIC

AIC	BIC
<mark>20098.382</mark>	<mark>20139.305</mark>
21144.786	21179.864
21783.528	21812.759
	AIC 20098.382 21144.786 21783.528

Autocorrelation function and Partial Autocorrelation Function - Greece - Daily difference





SARIMA - Performance analysis

SARIMAX Results							
Dep. Varia	ble:			У	No. Observa	tions:	2557
Model:	SARI	MAX(2, 1,	1)x(1, 0, [1, 2], 12)	Log Likelih	ood	-10042.191
Date:			Wed, 2	2 Jun 2022	AIC		20098.382
Time:				12:36:58	BIC		20139.305
Sample:				0	HQIC		20113.222
				- 2557			
Covariance	Type:			opg			
		std ann		 D\ 7	 [0 025	e========== 0 0751	
ar.L1	0.1939	0.009	22.715	0.000	0.177	0.211	
ar.L2	0.0858	0.009	9.222	0.000	0.068	0.104	
ma.L1	-0.9895	0.002	-409.701	0.000	-0.994	-0.985	
ar.S.L12	0.9388	0.003	282.330	0.000	0.932	0.945	
ma.S.L12	-0.1335	0.011	-12.295	0.000	-0.155	-0.112	
ma.S.L24	-0.1539	0.008	-20.418	0.000	-0.169	-0.139	
sigma2	150.2430	1.167	128.723	0.000	147.955	152.531	
Ljung-Box	(L1) (0):		0.01	Jarque-Bera	 (JB):	182590.46	
Prob(0):			0.94	Prob(JB):	. /	0.00	
Heteroskeda	asticity (H):		11.80	Skew:		0.58	
Prob(H) (ti	wo-sided):		0.00	Kurtosis:		44.39	
===========							





SARIMA - Performance analysis

- The root-mean-square error (RMSE) is a frequently used measure of the differences between values (sample or population values) predicted by a model or an estimator and the values observed.
- R-squared (R²) is a statistical measure that represents the proportion of the variance for a dependent variable that's explained by an independent variable or variables in a regression model.
- The **mean absolute percentage error** (**MAPE**) : a measure of prediction accuracy of a forecasting method tp expresses the accuracy as a ratio.



RMSE	20.23
R^2	0.944
MAPE	0.397





Forecast price (In-sample)

Observed dally price difference vs Forecasted daily price difference - Greece







Forecast price (Out-sample)

Forecast daily price difference for January 2022 - Greece







Modelling of electricity prices – Iberia





Time series decomposition – Iberia – Daily



 $Y_t - S_t = T_t + E_t$





Model Selection Parameters

Trending

- The data displays trends in the periods mentioned above which fluctuates.
- However, it can be clearly seen in the monthly plots that there is an overall increasing trend over the time period.
- Therefore a moving average process is required to estimate the trending component.







Seasonality

- Data exhibits seasonality as seen in the plots whereby there is a constant and predictable pattern seen throughout.
- Evidenced by the constant view of the data at a daily and monthly frequency.







Stationarity

- The time series exhibits characteristics of a non-stationary time series ie trending(follows a trend at certain periods) and seasonality(spikes during days).
- Detrending is required to remove the trending and seasonality components and the resultant residuals will be stationary.
- To detrend the time series an autoregressive process is required whereby the time series is regressed against a lagged version of itself.
- Stationary series which are stationary exhibit constant mean and variance.





Testing

• Augmented dickey-fuller test upon the differenced time series

```
In [30]: ADF_test(germany_daily.diff(), 'daily prices differenced')
    > Is the daily prices differenced stationary ?
    H0: time series is not stationary
    Test statistic = -11.977
    P-value = 0.000
    Critical values :
        1%: -3.4329373309060354 - The "daily prices differenced" is stationary with 99% confidence
        5%: -2.862683075009153 - The "daily prices differenced" is stationary with 95% confidence
        10%: -2.5673785020856443 - The "daily prices differenced" is stationary with 90% confidence
```

• Significant at 1% level







ACF of differenced series vs raw

=> Impact of seasonality is evident in second plot (diff time series), hence m=12





Choice of model

- Due to seasonality, only SARIMA is an appropriate choice of model .
- Based on AIC selection criteria using m=12.

```
ARIMA(5,1,1)(0,0,0)[0]
ARIMA(5,1,3)(0,0,0)[0]
```

Best model: ARIMA(6,1,2)(0,0,0)[0] Total fit time: 63.799 seconds 23414.834421711173 ARIMA(3,1,0)(2,0,2)[12] ARIMA(3,1,2)(2,0,2)[12]

Best model: ARIMA(2,1,1)(2,0,2)[12] Total fit time: 1013.105 seconds 20366.000940207774





Performance analysis

In [97]:	<pre>arma_rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(germany_daily.loc[germany_daily.index>='2020-01-01']["price"].values, pred.predicted_mean) print("RMSE: ",arma_rmse)</pre>
	٠
	RMSE: 21.037911334697878
In [98]:	arma_mape = mean_absolute_percentage_error(germany_daily.loc[germany_daily.index>='2020-01-01']["price"].values, pred.predicted_n print("MAPE: ",arma_mape)
	MAPE: 0.43979362952512685
In [101]:	<pre>arma_r2 = r2_score(germany_daily.loc[germany_daily.index>='2020-01-01']["price"].values, pred.predicted_mean) print("r2: ",arma_r2)</pre>
	r2: 0.8942303775570486

- RMSE Difference in values between predicted and observed data
- **MAPE** Measure of a prediction accuracy
- R2 Goodness of fit of model







- 1 Introduction
- 2 Descriptive statistics and preliminary analysis3 Modelling of electricity prices
- 4 VaR simulation







On 31.12.2021 we bought a forward contract to buy a 1 MWh of electricity for a forward price of 150 EUR/MWh on 01.02.2022 (one month maturity) on Iberian and Greece market. Simulating the price paths with **Monte Carlo approach**, which is the **VaR value**? Which is **the distribution of profit and loss function**?

Electricity forward contracts represent the obligation to buy or sell a fixed amount of electricity at a prespecified contract price, known as the forward price, at certain time in the future.

Monte Carlo simulation is a broad class of computational algorithms that rely majorly on repeated random sampling to obtain numerical results.

VaR expresses the maximum loss of the portfolio value in a set time period with a given confidence level.





VaR simulation - Iberia





Forecast daily prices with 1.000 scenarios for January 2022 - Iberia







Forecast daily prices with 10.000 scenarios for January 2022 - Iberia







- With the **10.000** simulated price we obtain the following results:
- We have that **90% one-month VaR** is **84.6 EUR**;
- We have that **95% one-month VaR** is **91.4 EUR**;
- We have that 99% one-month VaR is 105.0 EUR.







VaR simulation - Greece





Forecast daily prices with 1.000 scenarios for January 2022 - Greece







Forecast daily prices with 10.000 scenarios for January 2022 - Greece







- With the 10.000 simulated price we obtain the following results:
- We have that **90% one-month VaR** is **96.6 EUR**;
- We have that 95% one-month VaR is 103.5 EUR;
- We have that 99% one-month VaR is 117.11 EUR.









Comparison

Greek market seems to be more volatile than Iberian market

→ we would expect a higher portfolio-VaR in Greece

Results confirm this expectation. VaR in Greek market is:

- 14.2% higher at 90% confidence level
- 13.3% higher at 95% confidence level
- 11.5% higher at 99% confidence level





Greening Energy Market and Finance

Project website: http://grenfin.eu



The information and views set out in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the



With the support of the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

information contained therein.